

Presented to the Court by the foreman of the  
Grand Jury in open Court, in the presence of  
the Grand Jury and FILED in The U.S.  
DISTRICT COURT at Seattle, Washington.

..... November 24 2009  
BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk  
By J. Arent Zichary Deputy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK ASHMORE,  
HIEP NGUYEN,  
LUKE REIMER, and  
CHRISTOPHER DICUGNO,

Defendants.

NO. CR 09-402 RAJ

INDICTMENT



09-CR-00402-INDI

The Grand Jury charges that:

**COUNT ONE**  
**(Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)**

A. **Introduction**

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

1. "Equity Solutions Northwest," (hereinafter, "ESNW") was a Washington company owned and operated by MARK ASHMORE.

2. "Remarkable Properties, LLC," (hereinafter, "Remarkable Properties") was a Washington limited liability company owned and operated by MARK ASHMORE.

3. Pierce Commercial Bank was a financial institution as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 20, located in the Western District of Washington, and was federally insured at all times relevant herein.

1       4. CHRISTOPHER DICUGNO worked as a loan officer at Pierce Commercial  
2 Bank.

3       5. LUKE REIMER worked as a loan officer at Premier Mortgage.

4       6. Higa Escrow was an escrow company owned and operated by C.H.

5       **A. The Offense**

6       7. Beginning on an exact date unknown, but sometime within the last five  
7 years, and continuing through on or about January 12, 2008, in the Western District of  
8 Washington at Bellevue, and elsewhere, MARK ASHMORE, HIEP NGUYEN, LUKE  
9 REIMER, and CHRISTOPHER DICUGNO, (collectively, "Defendants") together with  
10 others known and unknown, did knowingly and willfully conspire, confederate and agree  
11 to commit offenses against the United States, to wit: To knowingly transmit and cause to  
12 transmit by wire, communications in interstate and foreign commerce in furtherance of a  
13 scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false  
14 and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, and to aid and abet, cause and  
15 procure the same, knowing full well that the pretenses, representations and promises were  
16 false when made, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

17       **B. The Object of the Conspiracy.**

18       8. The object of the conspiracy was for Defendants to unlawfully enrich  
19 themselves and their co-conspirators by obtaining mortgage loans under false pretenses.  
20 Defendants would recruit or cause others to recruit "straw buyers" to purchase residential  
21 real property for an inflated price. Defendants would then cause the straw buyer to apply  
22 for loans to finance the inflated purchase price, using materially false information to  
23 obtain the loans. The fraudulently obtained loan would then be used to pay the original  
24 seller, with the excess amounts going to Defendants and other conspirators.

25       **C. The Manner and Means of the Conspiracy.**

26       9. The manner and means by which the Defendants and their co-conspirators  
27 sought to accomplish the object and purpose of the conspiracy included, among others,  
28 the following:

1       **10. Identification of Subject Properties.** It was part of the conspiracy that the  
 2 conspirators, and others acting on their behalf, would identify residential real property for  
 3 sale (hereafter, collectively referred to as the “subject properties”). MARK ASHMORE  
 4 would then contact the seller of the subject properties, and would generally offer to  
 5 purchase the property at well above the asking price. As part of the propose sale  
 6 agreement, ASHMORE would have the seller of the subject properties sign an “upgrade  
 7 agreement,” assigning any proceeds over the original asking price to a company owned or  
 8 controlled by ASHMORE. ASHMORE would claim that the assigned proceeds were  
 9 needed to update, remodel, expand, or renovate the property.

10      **11. Recruitment of Straw Buyers.** It was part of the conspiracy that  
 11 conspirators, including but not limited to MARK ASHMORE, HIEP NGUYEN, LUKE  
 12 REIMER, would recruit and pay individuals to pose as buyers (hereafter, “straw buyers”)  
 13 for the subject properties. The Defendants would often promise to pay the straw buyers  
 14 as much as \$10,000. In return for their fee, the straw buyers would allow their identities  
 15 and credit information to be used in mortgage loan applications. The applications were  
 16 submitted to financial institutions and mortgage lenders, and represented the straw buyer  
 17 as the true buyer of the selected properties, and the individual responsible for the loan.  
 18 However, the straw buyers at no time believed themselves to be the true owner of the  
 19 properties. It was instead understood that the properties would be controlled by MARK  
 20 ASHMORE and other members of the conspiracy, and that all mortgage payments were  
 21 to be made by other members of the conspiracy. The conspirators recruited more than  
 22 fifteen individuals to act as straw buyers, some of whom agreed to do so for more than  
 23 one subject property.

24      **12. The Subject Properties are Sold to Straw Buyers.** It was part of the  
 25 conspiracy that the subject properties would then be sold, or “flipped” to the straw buyer  
 26 at the inflated price arranged by ASHMORE and others.

27      **13. Fraudulent Loan Applications.** It was part of the conspiracy that  
 28 conspirators, including but not limited to ASHMORE, LUKE REIMER, and HIEP

1 NGUYEN, and others, would prepare and/or cause to be prepared on behalf of the straw  
 2 buyers, materially false and fraudulent mortgage loan applications, including (among  
 3 other things), falsely inflated income levels; false employment information; false  
 4 employment verifications; and false certifications that the straw buyers intended to  
 5 occupy the home as their primary residence. Said misrepresentations were typically  
 6 contained on a "Uniform Residential Loan Application," also known as a Fannie Mae  
 7 Form 1003. The mortgage loan applications were submitted to financial institutions and  
 8 mortgage lenders with the intent of securing loans to finance the fictitious "flipped" sale  
 9 price. Defendants acted with the intent to defraud the respective mortgage companies to  
 10 whom the loan applications were sent, knowing that the lenders would rely on the  
 11 misrepresentations.

12       **14. Fraudulent Verification of Owner/Occupancy.** It was part of the  
 13 conspiracy that, in each case, the false mortgage loan application would also include a  
 14 separate materially false verification, typically under penalty of perjury, that the purpose  
 15 of the loan was for the straw buyer to acquire the selected property as a primary  
 16 residence. The conspirators caused the straw buyer to make this misrepresentation to  
 17 secure 100% financing of the "flip" transactions (thereby avoiding making a down  
 18 payment) and to obtain a lower interest rate.

19       **15. Diversion and Disbursement of Fraudulently Obtained Loan Proceeds.**  
 20 It was part of the conspiracy that once the mortgage applications were approved, the  
 21 fraudulently obtained loan proceeds from the lending institutions would be wired in  
 22 interstate commerce to Higa Escrow Services' account at Banner Bank. Higa Escrow  
 23 Services would then typically, pay any underlying loans and lien holders, and then  
 24 distribute the excess amounts to members of the conspiracy.

25       **16. Members of the conspiracy would often make the payments on the**  
 26 **mortgage loans obtained as part of the conspiracy, in an attempt to maintain the loans**  
 27 **until the properties could be sold again, oftentimes in another flip to another straw buyer**  
 28 **at a higher inflated price. However, in the end, the conspirators would ultimately fail to**

1 make payments on the loans, and properties would go into foreclosure, causing the  
2 financial institutions and mortgage lenders to suffer substantial losses.

3       17. The Grand Jury further alleges that the conspiracy affected one or more  
4 federally insured financial institutions, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section  
5 20, including but not limited to Pierce Commercial Bank.

6       **D. Overt Acts of the Conspiracy.**

7       18. In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish one or more of its  
8 objects, the following overt acts were committed by one or more of the conspirators  
9 within the Western District of Washington:

10           a. On or about April 23, 2007, Defendants caused Pierce Commercial  
11 Bank to wire \$632,284.50, via Banner Bank, to Higa Escrow Service's account with  
12 Banner Bank in the Western District of Washington in connection with the flip of 2399  
13 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington to straw buyer R.M.

14           b. On or about January 12, 2007, Defendants caused Pierce  
15 Commercial Bank to wire \$511,635.54, via Banner Bank, to Higa Escrow Service's  
16 account with Banner Bank in the Western District of Washington in connection with the  
17 flip of 20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington, to straw buyer S.S.

18           c. On or about January 8, 2007, Defendants caused Lehman  
19 Investments Inc., in New York, New York, to make two wire transfers, one in the amount  
20 of \$197,841.78 and one in the amount of \$653,430.10 to Higa Escrow Service's account  
21 at Banner Bank in the Western District of Washington, in connection with the flip of  
22 10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington, to straw buyer C.L.

23           All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1349 and 2.

24           **COUNTS TWO THROUGH FOUR**  
25           **(Wire Fraud)**

26       19. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully  
27 herein. Each of the Counts alleged herein was committed during and in furtherance of the  
28 conspiracy charged in Count One.

1       20. Beginning on a date uncertain, but within the last five years, and continuing  
 2 through on or about January 12, 2008, within the Western District of Washington and  
 3 elsewhere, MARK ASHMORE, HIEP NGUYEN, LUKE REIMER, and CHRISTOPHER  
 4 DICUGNO, (collectively, "Defendants"), together with others known and unknown,  
 5 devised and executed a scheme and artifice to defraud for the purpose of obtaining money  
 6 and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises  
 7 ("the scheme and artifice to defraud"), knowing full well that the pretenses,  
 8 representations and promises were false.

9       **A. Essence of The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud.**

10      21. The essence of the scheme and artifice to defraud was for Defendants to  
 11 unlawfully enrich themselves and others by obtaining mortgage loans under false  
 12 pretenses. Defendants would recruit or cause others to recruit "straw buyers" to purchase  
 13 residential real property for an inflated price (hereafter, a "flip"). Defendants would then  
 14 cause the straw buyer to apply for loans to finance the inflated purchase price, using  
 15 materially false information to obtain the loans. The fraudulently obtained loan would  
 16 then be used to pay the original seller, with the excess amounts going to Defendants and  
 17 other conspirators.

18       **B. The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud.**

19      22. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendants and others  
 20 would identify residential real property for sale (hereafter, collectively referred to as the  
 21 "subject properties").

22      23. **Acquisition of Subject Properties.** It was further part of the scheme and  
 23 artifice to defraud that some of the Defendants, including but not limited to MARK  
 24 ASHMORE and LUKE REIMER, would acquire the subject properties, either in their  
 25 own name or in the name of a company they owned and controlled, or through the use of  
 26 straw buyers, at or close to the original list price. Representative examples include, but  
 27 are not limited to the following:

1           a.     2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington: On or about May  
2     6, 2005, MARK ASHMORE and others known and unknown caused straw buyer C.V. to  
3     acquire the property at 2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington, for \$655,000.  
4     The property is subsequently resold to LUKE REIMER for \$830,000.

5           b.     20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington: On or  
6     about December 14, 2005, MARK ASHMORE and others known and unknown caused  
7     straw buyer T.D. to acquire the property at 20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville,  
8     Washington, for \$543,000.

9           c.     10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington: On or about  
10   November 21, 2005, HIEP NGUYEN and MARK ASHMORE and others known and  
11   unknown caused straw buyer T.D. to acquire the property at 10536 Northeast 24th Street,  
12   Bellevue, Washington, for \$720,000.

13       **24. Recruitment of Straw Buyers.** It was further part of the scheme and  
14     artifice to defraud that MARK ASHMORE, HIEP NGUYEN, LUKE REIMER and others  
15     known and unknown would recruit and pay individuals to pose as buyers (hereafter,  
16     “straw buyers”) for the subject properties. In return for their fee, the straw buyers would  
17     allow their identities and credit information to be used in mortgage loan applications  
18     submitted to financial institutions and mortgage lenders representing the straw buyer as  
19     the true buyer of the selected properties and the individual responsible for the loan.  
20     However, the straw buyers at no time believed themselves to be the true owners of the  
21     properties, and instead understood that the properties would be controlled by other  
22     members of the conspiracy, and that all mortgage payments were to be made by other  
23     members of the conspiracy. The conspirators recruited more than fifteen individuals to  
24     act as straw buyers, including but not limited to C.V., N.M., R.M., L.A., S.S., J.D., T.D.,  
25     and C.L. Some of these individuals acted as straw buyers for more than one subject  
26     property.

27       **25. Flip of Subject Properties to Straw Buyers:** It was further part of the  
28     scheme and artifice to defraud that the subject properties would then be sold, or “flipped”

1 to the straw buyer for a higher price. Representative examples include, but are not  
2 limited to, the following:

3           a.     2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington: On or about  
4 April 12, 2007, REIMER entered into a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement  
5 (hereinafter, "RESPA") to sell the property at 2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue,  
6 Washington to R.M. for \$1,112,000.

7           b.     20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington: On or  
8 about January 10, 2007, ASHMORE caused T.D. to enter into a REPSA to sell the  
9 property at 20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington to straw buyer S.S.  
10 for \$650,000.

11           c.     10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington: On or about  
12 January 8, 2007, ASHMORE cause T.D. to enter into a REPSA to sell the property at  
13 10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington, to C.L. for \$850,000.

14       26.   **Fraudulent Loan Application.** It was further part of the scheme and  
15 artifice to defraud that the Defendants, including but not limited to MARK ASHMORE,  
16 LUKE REIMER, and CHRIS DICUGNO, would prepare and/or cause to be prepared on  
17 behalf of the straw buyers materially false and fraudulent mortgage loan applications,  
18 including (among other things), falsely inflated income levels; false employment  
19 information; false employment verifications; false availability of funds to close and/or  
20 false verification of funds on deposit. Said misrepresentations were typically contained  
21 on a "Uniform Residential Loan Application," also known as a Fannie Mae Form 1003.  
22 Defendants acted with the intent to defraud the respective mortgage companies to whom  
23 the loan applications were sent, knowing that the lenders would rely on the  
24 misrepresentations. Representative examples include, but are not limited to, the  
25 following:

26           a.     2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington: On or about  
27 April 23, 2007, MARK ASHMORE and others caused R.M. to falsely claim on a loan  
28 application provided to Pierce Commercial Bank, to purchase 2399 140th Way Southeast,

1      Bellevue, Washington: (1) that she was employed with Remarkable Properties; (2) that  
2      she made \$25,000 a month in gross income; (3) that she maintained a bank balance of  
3      \$112,000; and (4) that the purpose of the loan was to purchase a primary residence.

4                b.     20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington: On or  
5      about January 11, 2007, MARK ASHMORE, CHRISTOPHER DICUGNO, and others  
6      caused S.S. to falsely claim to Pierce Commercial Bank to purchase 20218 170th Avenue  
7      Northeast, Woodinville, Washington: (1) that she worked at Equity Solutions Northwest;  
8      (2) she made \$13,000 a month in gross income; and (3) that the purpose of the loan was  
9      to purchase a primary residence.

10               c.     10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington: On or about  
11     January 8, 2007, MARK ASHMORE and HIEP NGUYEN, and others caused C.L. to  
12     falsely claim to Lehman Investments Inc., in connection with the purchase of 10536  
13     Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington: (1) that he worked at C-Global, a company  
14     owned by HIEP NGUYEN; (2) that he made in excess of \$17,000 a month in employment  
15     income; (3) that the purpose of the loan was to purchase a primary residence.

16               27.     **Fraudulent Verification of Owner/Occupancy.** It was further part of the  
17     scheme and artifice to defraud that, in each case, the false mortgage loan application  
18     would also include a separate materially false verification, typically under penalty of  
19     perjury, that the purpose of the loan was for the straw buyer to acquire the selected  
20     property as a primary residence. The conspirators caused the straw buyer to make this  
21     misrepresentation to secure 100% financing of the “flip” transactions (thereby avoiding  
22     making a down payment) and to obtain a lower interest rate. Representative examples  
23     include, but are not limited to:

24               a.     2399 140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington: On April 20  
25     2007, Defendants caused R.M. to sign an “Occupancy and Financial Affidavit” under  
26     penalty of perjury falsely certifying that she planned to occupy the residence at 2399  
27     140th Way Southeast, Bellevue, Washington, as her primary residence.

1                   b.     20218 170th Avenue Northeast, Woodinville, Washington: On or  
2 about January 11, 2007, Defendants caused S.S. to sign an “Occupancy Agreement”  
3 falsely certifying that she intended to occupy the property at 20218 170th Avenue  
4 Northeast, Woodinville, Washington, as her primary residence.

5                   c.     10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington. On or about  
6 January 8, 2007, Defendants caused C.L. to sign an “Occupancy Affidavit” falsely  
7 certifying that he intended to occupy 10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue, Washington,  
8 as his principal residence.

9                 28. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendants  
10 would often make, or cause others to make, the payments on the mortgage loans obtained  
11 as part of the conspiracy, in an attempt to maintain the loans until the properties could be  
12 sold again, oftentimes in another flip to another straw buyer. However, the conspirators  
13 would ultimately fail to make payments on the loans, and some properties would go into  
14 foreclosure, causing the financial institutions and mortgage lenders to suffer substantial  
15 losses.

16                 29. The Grand Jury further alleges that the conspiracy affected one or more  
17 federally insured financial institutions, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section  
18 20, including but not limited to Pierce Commercial Bank.

19                 C.     **Execution of the Scheme and Artifice.**

20                 30. As to Counts Two through Four, and on each of the dates listed below,  
21 within the Western District of Washington, for the purpose of executing and attempting to  
22 execute this scheme and artifice to defraud, Defendants did knowingly cause the  
23 following mortgage lenders to transmit the total loan funds specified as to each Count in  
24 that paragraph, in interstate commerce by means of one or more wires, with the ultimate  
25 destination being Higa Escrow Services’ trust account at Banner Bank located in the  
26 Western District of Washington.

27                 a.     Count Two: On or about April 23, 2007, MARK ASHMORE,  
28 LUKE REIMER, CHRIS DICUGNO, and others caused Pierce Commercial Bank to wire

1    \$632,284.50, via Banner Bank, to Higa Escrow Services account with Banner Bank in the  
2    Western District of Washington in connection with the flip of 2399 140th Way Southeast,  
3    Bellevue, Washington, to straw buyer R.M.

4                 b.    Count Three: On or about January 12, 2007, MARK ASHMORE,  
5    CHRISTOPHER DICUGNO, and others caused Pierce Commercial Bank to wire  
6    \$511,635.54, via Banner Bank, to Higa Escrow Service's account with Banner Bank in the  
7    Western District of Washington in connection with the flip of 20218 170th Avenue  
8    Northeast, Woodinville, Washington, to straw buyer S.S.

9                 c.    Count Four: On or about January 8, 2007, MARK ASHMORE,  
10   HIEP NGUYEN, and others caused Lehman Investments Inc., in New York, New York,  
11   to make two wire transfers, one in the amount of \$197,841.78 and one in the amount of  
12   \$653,430.10 to Higa Escrow Service's account at Banner Bank in the Western District of

13   //

14   //

15   //

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Washington, in connection with the flip of 10536 Northeast 24th Street, Bellevue,  
2 Washington, to straw buyer C.L.

3 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

4 A TRUE BILL:

5 DATED: November 24 2009

6 *Signature of Foreperson Redacted*  
7 Per Policy of Judicial Conference

8 FOREPERSON

9   
10 JENNY A. DURKAN  
11 United States Attorney

12   
13 LAWRENCE LINCOLN  
14 Assistant United States Attorney

15   
16 NICHOLAS W. BROWN  
17 Assistant United States Attorney